Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,094	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ankur Gogia	2187
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Non-Final</u>	
(1) <u>Ankur Gogia</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kirk Cesari</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 January 2006	Time: <u>1:40 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: no rejections were discussed		
Claims discussed: 2, 11-23		
Prior art documents discussed: no prior art documents were discussed		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
CHRISTIAN CHACE		
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
A An=		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative an examiner's amendment cancelling claims 2 and 15-23 and moving claim 11 into claim 1. At this point the applicant's representative requested a couple of days to review the suggestions and would respond to examiner on Tuesday, January 17, 2006.

Further attempts for communication were unsuccessful. Agreement was not reached.